

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/074,750	02/13/2002	W. Campbell Mears JR.	21240/1	1480
·	7590 03/07/2007 Fea	,	EXAM	INER
Peter B. Sorell, Esq. Brown Rudnick Berlack Israels LLC One Financial Center Boston, MA 02111			GREIMEL, JOCELYN	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3693	
SHORTENED STATUTORY	Y PERIOD OF RESPONSE	· MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
2 MONTHS		03/07/2007	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/074,750	MEARS ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Jocelyn Greimel	3693				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).						
Status						
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>13 February 2002</u> .						
•	·					
•						
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-20</u> is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-20</u> is/are rejected.	☑ Claim(s) <u>1-20</u> is/are rejected.					
7) Claim(s) <u>11</u> is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	r election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine						
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ acce						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of:						
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage 						
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).						
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
		·				
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Paper No(s)/Mail Date.						
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application						
Paper No(s)/Mail Date 6) Other:						

DETAILED ACTION

1. This communication is in response to Applicant's application filed 13 February 2002, which claims the benefit of 60/268,477 filed 13 February 2001 and 60/268,301 filed 13 February 2001. Claims 1-20 are pending and are presented to be examined upon their merits. Claims 1, 17 and 20 are independent claims.

Claim Objections

2. Claim 11 is objected to because of the following informalities: the claim references transfer of data using XML. However, the acronym XML is not disclosed in the claim language. Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

- 3. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:
 - A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

 (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 4. Claims 1-8 and 17-19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Advocate Software (hereinafter, Advocate). In reference to claims 1

and 17-19, Advocate discloses a method and apparatus for automating structured settlements including:

- a. collecting and updating candidate data required to identify potential structure candidates;
- b. collecting and updating financial data required to structure settlements;
- c. computing structured settlement quotations using said candidate data and said financial data and integrated third-party quotation programs; and
- d. communicating said candidate data, said financial data and said quotations to structured settlement professionals (pages 1-5).
- 5. In reference to claims 2 and 3, Advocate discloses collecting data and communicating data via an online service (page 1, paragraph 2) and collecting data via local software (page 1, paragraph 2).
- 6. In reference to claim 4, Advocate discloses online service including programs for storing and downloading case data, maintaining diary functionality and providing online reports (page 1, paragraphs 1-3).
- 7. In reference to claims 5-7, Advocate discloses entering financial and candidate data into the database(s) (page 1).

Application/Control Number: 10/074,750 Page 4

Art Unit: 3693

8. In reference to claim 8, Advocate discloses using third-party quotation programs which use life company quotation software (page 1, paragraph 1+).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 9. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 10. The factual inquiries set forth in *Graham v. John Deere Co.*, 383 U.S. 1, 148 USPQ 459 (1966), that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:
 - 1. Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.
 - 2. Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue.
 - 3. Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.
 - 4. Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness or nonobviousness.
- 11. Claims 9-16 and 20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Advocate in view of Official Notice. In reference to claims 2-16,

Advocate discloses a method and apparatus for automating structured settlements.

Advocate does not disclose:

e. Selecting third-party programs from a menu;

f. Transferring data to the third-party program from a database;

g. Transferring data using XML;

h. Saving proposals;

i. Saving data and results.

However, the Examiner takes Official Notice that the above processes (e-i) are well known in the computer/data processing arts. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the Applicant's invention to have modified the automated structured settlement program of Advocate with the above computer processes because the processes would allow the use the program run more efficiently.

Conclusion

12. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure:

j. Peripherals; Interesting Way to See Interest on Money, Shannon, L.R., New York Times (Late Edition (East Coast)), New York, NY; Aug. 28, 1990, pg. C7. (Discussing a "tool for computing structured legal settlements").

k. Computers Revolutionize Structured Settlement Work, Haggerty, Alfred G., National Underwriter, (Life, health/financial services ed.), Erlanger, Sept. 28, 1987, Vol. 91, Iss. 39, pg. 3.

Page 6

Application/Control Number: 10/074,750

Art Unit: 3693

I. Software Tools for Litigation Services, Cherkas, Byron S., Journal of

Accountancy, New York, June 1992, Vol. 173, Iss. 6, pg. 123. (Discussing

"Advocate Software").

13. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Jocelyn Greimel whose telephone number is (571) 272-

3734. The examiner can normally be reached Monday - Friday 8:30 AM - 4:30 PM

EST. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, James Kramer can be reached on (571) 272-6783. The fax phone number

for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

14. Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the

Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for

published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR.

Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only.

For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should

you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic

Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a

USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information

system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Jocelyn Greimel

Examiner, Art Unit 3693

February 22, 2007

JAMES A. KRAMER

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

TECHNOLOGY CENTER 3600

Application/Control Number: 10/074,750

Art Unit: 3693

Page 7